Synthesis of Amidomethyltrifluoroborates and Their Use in Cross-Coupling Reactions

Gary A. Molander* and Marie-Aude Hiebel

*Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsyl*V*ania, Philadelphia, Pennsyl*V*ania 19104-6323, United States*

gmolandr@sas.upenn.edu

Received August 27, 2010

ABSTRACT

Amidomethyltrifluoroborates were successfully synthesized in a one-pot fashion and used in cross-coupling reactions with a wide variety of aryl and heteroaryl chlorides.

Amidomethylarenes are commonly found in a variety of biologically active compounds (Figure 1).¹ Several strategies have been developed to obtain amidomethyl-containing products such as nucleophilic displacement, 2 reductive *N*-alkylation,³ and more commonly amidation.⁴ These methods follow a consonant reactivity pattern based on the nucleophilicity of the nitrogen. Recently, cross-coupling reactions with *N*,*N*-dialkylaminomethyltrifluoroborates were described to access the analogous aminomethyl moiety.⁵ This

(5) (a) Molander, G. A.; Ham, J. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2031. (b) Molander, G. A.; Sandrock, D. L. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1597. (c) Molander, G. A.; Gormisky, P. E.; Sandrock, D. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 2052.

10.1021/ol102039c 2010 American Chemical Society **Published on Web 09/29/2010**

Figure 1. Biologically active molecules containing the amidomethyl moiety.

approach provides access to amines using a C-C bond connection strategy complementary to existing C-N bondforming approaches.

The *N*,*N*-dialkylaminomethyltrifluoroborates used in previous coupling efforts were obtained by a direct S_N2 displacement of the halides of potassium halomethyltrifluoroborates. Unfortunately, amidomethyltrifluoroborates cannot be ac-

^{(1) (}a) French, K. J.; Zhuang, Y.; Maines, L. W.; Gao, P.; Wang, W.; Beljanski, V.; Upson, J. J.; Green, C. L.; Keller, S. N.; Smith, C. D. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **2010**, *133*, 129. (b) Belyk, K. M.; Morrison, H. G.; Jones, P.; Summa, V. WO Patent 60,730, 2007. (c) McIntyre, J. A.; Castaner, J. *Drugs Future* **2004**, *29*, 992. (d) Ratti, S.; Quarato, P.; Casagrande, C.; Fumagalli, R.; Corsini, A. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1998**, *355*, 77.

⁽²⁾ Gajda, T.; Zwierzak, A. *Biochemistry* **1981**, *1*, 1005.

^{(3) (}a) Dube´, D.; Scholte, A. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 2295. (b) Oaki, Y.; Kobayashi, S. *J. Comb. Chem.* **1999**, *1*, 371.

^{(4) (}a) Han, S. Y.; Kim, Y. A. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 2447. (b) Montalbetti, C. A. G. N.; Falque, V. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 10827. (c) Huang, Z.; Reilly, J. E.; Buckle, R. N. *Synlett* **2007**, 1026. (d) NordstrØm, L. U.; Vogt, H.; Madsen, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 17672. (e) Terada, Y.; Ieda, N.; Komura, K.; Sugi, Y. Synlett 2008, 2318. (f) Burés, J.; Martín, M.; Urpı´, F.; Vilarrasa, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2203.

cessed in this manner, and thus it was necessary to develop a different approach to the trifluoroborate starting materials. The strategy chosen was based on previous work pioneered by Matteson,⁶ in which substituted boronate esters were obtained from halomethylboronate esters via intramolecular nucleophilic displacement and one carbon homologation of in situ generated LiCHX₂ or LiCH₂X species (X = Cl, Br, I).⁷ The "ate" complex resulting from initial attack of the nucleophile at the boron atom is followed by α -transfer to the neighboring carbon to form the elaborated boronate ester (Figure 2). 8

Figure 2. Reaction mechanism of the one-carbon homologation of boronate esters and the intramolecular nucleophilic displacement of α -halo boronate esters with various nucleophiles.

Amidomethylboronate esters have been synthesized following this strategy,⁹ but only a few examples were reported, and poor to moderate yields were observed for the formation of α -unsubstituted products in a process that required two to three steps. $9f,10$ Furthermore, apart from their biological evaluations, amidomethylborons have not been used with

(6) For reviews on α -halo boronate esters see: (a) Matteson, D. S. *Chem.*
(b) **1989** 89 1535 (b) Matteson, D. S. *Tetrahedron* **1989** 45 1859 (c) *Re*V*.* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, *⁸⁹*, 1535. (b) Matteson, D. S. *Tetrahedron* **¹⁹⁸⁹**, *⁴⁵*, 1859. (c) Matteson, D. S. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 10555.

(7) (a) Brown, H. C.; Rogic, M. M.; Rathke, M. W.; Kabalka, G. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1968**, *90*, 818. (b) Matteson, D. S.; Mah, R. W. H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, *85*, 2599. (c) Brown, H. C.; De Lue, N. R.; Yamamoto, Y.; Maruyama, K.; Kasahara, T.; Murahashi, S.; Sanoda, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 4088.

(8) (a) Sadhu, K. M.; Matteson, D. S. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 1687. (b) Sadhu, K. M.; Matteson, D. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 795. (c) Brown, H. C.; Phadke, A. S.; Rangaishenvi, M. V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *110*, 6263. (d) Brown, H. C.; Singh, S. M. *Organometallics* **1986**, *5*, 994. (e) Brown, H. C.; Singh, S. M.; Rangaishenvi, M. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 3150. (f) Wallace, R. H.; Zong, K. K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 6941. (g) Soundararajan, R.; Li, G.; Brown, H. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 8957. (h) Davoli, P.; Fava, R.; Morandi, S.; Spaggiari, A.; Prati, F. *Tetrahedron* **1993**, *49*, 177.

(9) (a) Matteson, D. S.; Sadhu, K. M.; Lienhard, G. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 5241. (b) Matteson, D. S.; Jesthi, P. K.; Kizhakethil, M. S. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 1284. (c) Matteson, D. S.; Sadhu, K. M. *Organometallics* **1984**, *3*, 614. (d) Verleijen, J. P. G.; Faber, P. M.; Bodewes, H. H.; Braker, A. H.; Van Leusen, D.; van Leusen, A. M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 2109. (e) Matteson, D. S.; Singh, R. P.; Sutton, C. H.; Verheyden, J. D.; Lu, J.-H. *Heteroat. Chem.* **1997**, *8*, 487. (f) Matteson, D. S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2003**, *75*, 1249. (g) Lai, J. H.; Liu, Y.; Wu, W.; Zhou, Y.; Maw, H. H.; Bachovchin, W. W.; Bhat, K. L.; Bock, C. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 512. (h) Inglis, S. R.; Woon, E. C. Y.; Thompson, A. L.; Schofield, C. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *75*, 468.

(10) (a) Caselli, E.; Powers, R. A.; Blasczcak, L. C.; Wu, C. Y. E.; Prati, F.; Shoicher, B. K. *Chem. Biol.* **2001**, *8*, 17. (b) Kinder, D. H.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A. *J. Med. Chem.* **1985**, *28*, 1917. (c) Pechenov, A.; Stefanova, M. E.; Nicholas, R. A.; Peddi, S.; Gutheil, W. G. *Biochemistry* **2003**, *42*, 579.

success as Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling partners.¹¹ We disclose herein the formation of amidomethyltrifluoroborates synthesized in an original one-pot process from halomethylboronate esters. Additionally we report their palladiumcatalyzed coupling with various aryl and heteroaryl chlorides, which constitutes the first successful example of amidomethylation by a cross-coupling protocol.^{12,13}

The current study began with the preparation of amidomethyltrifluoroborates **4a**-**^m** using an adaptation of the Matteson procedure (Scheme 1).⁹

Thus 2-(chloromethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane **1** in the presence of potassium hexamethyldisilazide gave the expected disilylated aminoboronate ester **2a**,

which was deprotected in situ by the addition of methanol. The revealed free amine **2b** was then reacted with various acyl chlorides to form the corresponding amides. The crude boronate esters **3** obtained in this one-pot fashion were directly treated with a saturated solution of KHF_2 to afford **4a**-**^m** in good to excellent overall yields (Table 1).

This method provided access to aromatic substituted carbamides **4a**-**^f** that contained electron-withdrawing and electron-donating groups (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). Saturated $carbocycles$ (entries $3-5$) as well as alkyl side chains (entries ⁶-8) could also be incorporated.

Table 2. Cross-Coupling of 4a with Diverse Aryl Chlorides ^d						
	. Ph' BF_3K 4a	Pd(0)	_{Ph} 5a-m	R		
entry	chloride	product		$%$ isolated yield		
\mathbf{l}	CI	Ο Pł	5a	87		
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	CI. R ¹	R ¹	5b : $R^1 = H$ 5c : $R^1 = Me$	$\frac{83}{88}^{(91)^{a}}$		
\mathfrak{Z}	CΝ СI	CN	5d	65°		
$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$	CI	Ph R ¹	5e : $R^1 = CN$ 5f : $R^1 = CHO$ 5g: R^1 = Ac	87 88 88		
5	СI	Ph	5 _h	98		
6	CI OMe	OMe	5i	95 $(91)^e$		
7	CI OMe	OMe	5j	74		
8	СI OН	N OН	5k	87		
9	CO ₂ Me CI	Pr	CO ₂ Me 51	89		
10	OMe OMe CI.	OMe OMe Př	5m	76		

^{*a*} 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol % XPhos. ^{*b*} Reaction heated for 14 h. *^c* Reaction performed on 4.1 mmol scale with 1 mol % Pd(OAc)₂ and 2 mol % XPhos, 24 h at 85 °C. *^d* All reactions were carried out with 0.3 mmol of 4a and aryl chloride, 2.5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol % XPhos, 0.9 mmol of Cs_2CO_3 , 10:1 CPME/H₂O (0.09 M), 85 °C, 6 h.

With these compounds in hand, the cross-coupling conditions were first optimized with **4a** and *p*-chloroanisole as the electrophilic partner (Table 2, entry 6). The most effective coupling conditions were found to be 2.5 mol % of Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol % of XPhos, and 3 equiv of Cs_2CO_3 in a 10:1 cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME) and water mixture at 85 °C for 6 h with a stoichiometric amount of potassium trifluoroborate. On a larger scale reaction (1 g of product), the catalyst loading could be lowered to 1 mol % with similar results (entry 6). The generality of the method was then investigated by using structurally and electronically diverse aryl chlorides. Throughout the series of reaction partners studied, the expected coupling products were obtained in good to excellent yields, and a variety of functional groups including nitriles, ketones, aldehydes, esters, and alcohols were tolerated under these conditions. Sterically hindered electrophiles (Table 2, entries 2, 3, 7, and 10) were found to couple in excellent yields, although an increase in the catalyst loading or in the reaction time was required.

Table 3. Cross-Coupling of **4a** with Various Heteroaryl Chlorides*^c*

	Ω Ph н	Pd(0) CI-HetAr BF_3K	HetAr	
entry	4a chloride	product	6a-k	% isolated yield
\mathbf{l}	CI	O Ph N R ¹	6a: $R^1 = H$ 6b: R^1 = OMe	79 89
\overline{c}	СI CΝ	Ph N ΩN	6с	$25(49)^{a}$
3	СI Ń	Ph Ν N	6d	$24(33)^{a}$
$\overline{4}$	СI	Ph N N	6e	$58^b (66)^a$
5	C1	Ph N Ν	6f	86
6	CI OMe	Ph Ň OMe	6g	25
$\overline{7}$	CI R^1	Ph . R1	6h: $R^1 = H$ 6i: R^1 = Ac 6j: R^1 = CHO	86 78 82
8	СI сно	Ph сно	6k	89

 a^a Heated for 24 h with 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol % XPhos. b^b Reaction heated for 14 h. *^c* All reactions were carried out with 0.3 mmol of **4a** and heteroaryl chloride, 2.5 mol % $Pd(OAc)_{2}$, 5 mol % XPhos, 0.9 mmol of Cs_2CO_3 , 10:1 CPME/H₂O (0.09 M), 85 °C, 6 h.

To investigate the method further, the array of electrophiles was expanded to heteroaryl chlorides (Table 3). Chloropyridines bearing the halogen in the 3 or 4 position and other heteroaryl chlorides such as quinoline, thiophene, or furan derivatives were successfully coupled with **4a** under our previously described conditions in moderate to excellent yields. Unfortunately, despite attempting to increase the reaction temperature and increase or decrease the catalyst loading, 2-chloropyridine (**6d**) and 2-chloro-4-methoxypyrimidine (**6g**) gave rise to a significant amount of homocoupled product (entries 3 and 6).

^{*a*} Used 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol % XPhos. ^{*b*} All reactions were carried out with 0.3 mmol of 1a and aryl chloride, 2.5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol % XPhos, 0.9 mmol of Cs₂CO₃, 10:1 CPME/H₂O (0.09 M), 85 °C, 6 h.

We next examined the efficiency of the reaction with different amidomethyltrifluoroborates (Table 4). Both cyclic and acyclic carbamides gave the expected coupling product in good to excellent yields except for the biphenyl and the pentafluorophenyl substrates (**7d** and **7f**) (Table 4, entries 1 and 2), where degradation products were mostly recovered.

Table 5. Electrophile Compatibility*^a*

x Pd(0) $\ddot{}$ Ph BF_3K Ph OMe OMe 4a 5а						
entry	aryl electrophile	% isolated yield				
1		31				
$\overline{2}$	Br	85				
3	OTf	93				
4	OTs	traces				

^a All reactions were carried out with 0.3 mmol of **1a** and aryl chloride, 2.5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 5 mol % XPhos, 0.9 mmol of Cs_2CO_3 , 10:1 CPME/ H2O (0.09 M), 85 °C, 6 h.

Finally, the electrophile compatibility was examined (Table 5). Surprisingly, the aryl iodide gave low yields, indicating that the oxidative addition is not the limiting step of the catalytic cycle under these conditions. Aryl triflates and aryl bromides coupled cleanly in high yields. Unfortunately, tosylate derivatives exhibited no reactivity.

In summary, an efficient one-pot synthetic protocol successfully delivered α -unsubstituted amidomethyltrifluoroborates. These trifluoroborates proved to be suitable reagents to introduce the amidomethyl functional group into substrates via a unique bond construction. Various electron-rich and electron-poor aryl and heteroaryl electrophiles were used, demonstrating the generality of this method.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by a National Priorities Research Program (NPRP) grant from the Qatar National Research Fund (Grant no. 08-035-1-008) and the NIH (R01 GM-081376). We thank Frontier Scientific for a generous gift of $Pd(OAc)₂$. Dr. Rakesh Kohli (University of Pennsylvania) is acknowledged for obtaining HRMS data.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, spectral characterization, and copies of ¹ H, 13C, 19F, and 11B NMR spectra for all compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

⁽¹¹⁾ Tanaka, K. US Patent 15,351 A1, 2008. OL102039C

⁽¹²⁾ For reviews on organotrifluoroborate salts see: (a) Molander, G. A.; Figueroa, R. *Aldrichim. Acta* **2005**, *38*, 49. (b) Molander, G. A.; Ellis, N. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 275. (c) Stefani, H. A.; Cella, R.; Adriano, S. *Tetrahedron* **²⁰⁰⁷**, *⁶³*, 3623. (d) Darses, S.; Geneˆt, J.-P. *Chem. Re*V*.* **²⁰⁰⁸**, *108*, 288.

^{(13) (}a) Molander, G. A.; Biolatto, B. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *68*, 4302. (b) Molander, G. A.; Canturk, B.; Kennedy, L. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 973.